UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,896	04/27/2001	Masaharu Hayashi	0425-0836P	7584
2292 RIPCH STEW	7590 12/13/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		PRYOR, ALTO	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
FALLS CHUR	ALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/842,896	HAYASHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	,				
The MAILING DATE	of this communication and	Alton N. Pryor	the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	or timo communication app	care on the cover enest man			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma - If NO period for reply is specified at - Failure to reply within the set or ext	, FROM THE MAILING DA e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 iling date of this communication. bove, the maximum statutory period vended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply	be timely filed 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>09 O</u>	<u>ctober 2007</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL	· ·				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
·	m(s) <u>7,18-21 and 24-26</u> is. e allowed. <u>7,23,28-30,32,34-41 and 4</u> e objected to.				
Application Papers					
Applicant may not requ Replacement drawing	on is/are: a) according a contract that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent		Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number:

09/842,896 Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 4,5,14,16,17,29,34,37,40,45 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2,5,6 of U.S. Patent No. 6884759. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions teach a plant activating composition comprising a mono alcohol. Claim 2 of USPN '759 discloses that the composition may also contain a surfactant (ester group containing nonionic surfactant) and / or a fertilizer. USPN '759 discloses that the monoalcohol may be present in 100

Application/Control Number:

09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

parts of the total composition. See column 5 lines 61-67. The claims of the instant invention and the USPN '759 differ in scope, i.e. the instant invention requires the surfactant and fertilizer whereas the USPN '759 requires the monoalcohol along with surfactant and / or fertilizer. Because of the USPN '759 teaching the instant invention making claim to a composition comprising a monoalcohol, surfactant, and fertilizer is made obvious.

Claims 4-6, 14, 16, 17, 23, 28-30, 32, 34-41, 43-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6489269. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions teach a plant activating composition / method comprising a mono alcohol, chelating agent, surfactant, (carboxylic acid, phosphoric acid, ester group containing nonionic surfactant) and fertilizer. USPN '269 discloses that the monoalcohol may be present in 100 parts of the total composition. See column 6 lines 4-14. The claims of the instant invention and the claims recited in USPN '269 differ in scope, i.e. the instant claims employ "comprising" language" and claims of the USPN '269 employ "consisting essentially of" language. Although the scope of the claims differs, both the instant claims and claims in USPN '269 require the same ingredients (monoalcohol, surfactant and fertilizer). For this reason the claims in USPN '269 makes obvious the instant claims.

Telephonic Inquiry

09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616